



KARACHI INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LTD.
MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS
GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN

No. KIDCL/(GLBRTS)/GM/2018/4032
Dated 29th May 2018

Dawn Reader's Editor (DRE)/
The Editor,
The Dawn Group,
Haroon House,
Karachi.

2/12
31/05
Samp See

SUBJECT: MISREPRESENTATION OF FACTS ON A PENDING LAWSUIT BETWEEN KIDCL AND M/S. AL-NOOR BUILDERS AND CONSTRUCTORS HUB LTD.

Reference to the subject above, it is to bring to your notice, the following facts that are unfortunate to have been depicted incorrectly in your newspaper as news item titled "SHC suspends Private Firm's Permit to construct Green Line Underpass" on 26-MAY-18.

The subject of the news is a cause of concern for our company as it indicates that the work permit of the contractor who has been awarded the work by KIDCL, has been suspended by the Hon. Sind High Court. The article states further that the procedure for tendering for the construction of the Underpass near the Quaid's Mausoleum was non-transparent. It also states that Al-Noor Builders & Construction Hub Pvt. Ltd. had offered the lowest bid but rejected in violation of rules and that the defendant firm was awarded the contract on the basis of nepotism even though it was not qualified.

Please notice the corrected facts as follows and issue a corrigendum/corrected news item in your newspaper Dawn, in a prominent place, in public interest:

- a. There is a transparent process of procurement, in line with PPRA Rules
- b. For check and balance, an independent Grievance Redressal Committee is instituted from the beginning. The Board of Directors or the Management of the company are not represented in the Committee.
- c. Being called regularly, the Committee reviews complaints of similar nature and their findings will be made public soon.
- d. regarding the complaint of the plaintiff, Al-Noor Builders, it is submitted that the said company is not a registered constructor and is instead an importer/service provider as per its NTN certificate.
- e. Bid documents clearly state the Average Annual Construction Turnover to be taken as Evaluation/Qualification criterion. However the Audit Report of the plaintiff's company shows its turnover to be arising from work performed as developers.

In view of the above, and further, NO RESTRAINING ORDER has been issued by Hon. Sind High Court. In fact our company has submitted to the Court for a nearest possible hearing of the case, for removal of public nuisance.